

International Journal of Educational Methodology

Volume 11, Issue 2, 173 - 188.

ISSN: 2469-9632 https://www.ijem.com/

Factors Influencing Banzhurens' Job Satisfaction: An Exploratory Study on a Newly Developed Questionnaire

Ka Bai^{*} University of Technology Sydney, AUSTRALIA

Mun Yee Lai^D University of Technology Sydney, AUSTRALIA

Received: January 8, 2025 • Revised: March 11, 2025 • Accepted: April 7, 2025

Abstract: In primary and middle schools in China, banzhuren is the teacher responsible for managing and overseeing a specific class of students. The lower job satisfaction of banzhurens has been a longstanding issue. This study employs a quantitative method to investigate the impact of banzhurens' self-efficacy and burnout on their job satisfaction. A total of 624 primary school banzhurens from G City (in Henan province, China) participated in an online survey assessing their perceived job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and burnout. The data were analysed using structural equation modelling analysis. The results revealed that (a) banzhurens' burnout negatively influenced their self-efficacy and job satisfaction; (b) banzhurens' job satisfaction was positively influenced by self-efficacy; (c) banzhurens' self-efficacy could mediate the adverse effects of burnout on job satisfaction. Therefore, we suggest that banzhurens' job satisfaction can be enhanced by increasing their self-efficacy, particularly in terms of communication with leaders, and by reducing their burnout.

Keywords: Burnout, Chinese banzhurens, job satisfaction, self-efficacy, teachers.

To cite this article: Bai, K., & Lai, M. Y. (2025). Factors influencing banzhurens' job satisfaction: An exploratory study on a newly developed questionnaire. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, *11*(2), 173-188. https://doi.org/10.12973/ijem.11.2.173

Introduction

In primary schools in China, every class has a teacher designated as *banzhuren*, who has overall responsibility for and authority over all aspects of the students in their class (He, 2022). The term banzhuren is derived from Mandarin pronunciation and is often translated as classroom teacher, homeroom teacher, head teacher, or class teacher; however, a *banzhuren*'s responsibilities and scope of work extend far beyond what is commonly associated with these roles (G. Li et al., 2023). According to the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China (2009), *banzhurens* are selected from among the subject specialist teachers of a class. The selected teacher serves as both a subject specialist teacher and banzhuren, thus holding a dual role for the designated class. The role of banzhuren exists to ensure the smooth and effective daily operations of a class, which is essential in the Chinese educational system, particularly in primary schools. Meanwhile, these responsibilities often come with heavy workloads and excessive daily hours, causing banzhurens to face various work-related stresses and a sense of helplessness. (Geng, 2018). They express their unwillingness to continue to work as a *banzhuren* (Qin et al., 2021), and their job satisfaction is rather low compared with the subject specialist teachers (Yuan, 2019). Thus, there has been an increase in research focusing on job satisfaction and work dilemmas faced by banzhurens in recent years. However, this issue is still being researched, and education policymakers have yet to implement relevant policies to improve the circumstances of *banzhurens*. Given the extensive global research on teachers' job satisfaction, self-efficacy has been identified as a significant positive factor contributing to it (for example, Huang et al., 2020; Troesch & Bauer, 2017). In contrast, burnout is a major determinant of teachers' intentions to leave the profession (Madigan & Kim, 2021). Burnout has been highly discussed in studies concerning teachers' job satisfaction and/or intention to quit (such as Okçu & Çetin, 2017; Oropesa Ruiz et al., 2019). Although a large number of research have explored the factors influencing teachers' job satisfaction, little attention has been given to understanding how banzhurens' job satisfaction is influenced by their self-efficacy and burnout. This study explores this issue by developing a questionnaire to investigate the relationships between *banzhurens*' job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and burnout.

© 2025 The author(s); licensee IJEM by RAHPSODE LTD, UK. Open Access - This article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).

^{*} Corresponding author:

Ka Bai, University of Technology Sydney, Australia. 🖂 ka.bai@student.uts.edu.au

Literature Review

Banzhuren in the Chinese Context

The occupation of *banzhuren* is unique to the teaching system, where school students are grouped and taught in classrooms. In 1862, the Qing government established the Beijing Tongwen Institute, modelled after Western education systems, thus introducing the classroom-based teaching system in China (Ning & Fang, 2020; Shang, 2003). The Beijing Tongwen Institute was an educational organisation where students were taught through grade-based instruction and classroom-based teaching, and the educators were assigned to two main departments: the teaching department and the administrative department (Yu, 2023). The responsibilities of educators in the administrative department were similar to the administrative tasks of today's *banzhuren*, including completing administrative paperwork, supervising students' learning, recording students' performance, and dealing with students' behavioural issues (Zhu, 1986). The term "*banzhuren*" first emerged in Primary School Instruction Guidelines (compiled by the Education Department of Suide County (Yulin City, Shaanxi Province) in 1942, which emphasised the responsibility of *banzhuren* for students' moral guidance (Education Research Institute of Shaanxi Normal University, 1981, p. 278).

Since implementing the *banzhuren* system, numerous Chinese scholars have conducted in-depth research on its various aspects. To assist *banzhurens* in improving their job performance, the following six core competencies for being an effective primary school *banzhuren* have been identified: research ability, a child-centred perspective, patience, innovative skills, coordination skills, and foresight (Y.-C. Liu, 2015). Built on these six essential competencies, the framework of *banzhuren*'s Professional Standards (Jiang, 2018) was developed to provide guidelines for *banzhuren* to effectively perform their duties. The standards are organised into four foundational categories, three core categories, and four ideal categories. The foundational standards are: adopting a student-centred approach, prioritising teacher ethics, emphasising capability, and committing to lifelong learning. The core standards are: class construction, student guidance, and communication and coordination. The ideal standards are: ethical integrity, excellent professional skills, leadership in professionalism, and educational innovation. Overall, the role of *banzhuren* can be defined as a teacher who is in charge of a class, an organiser, a builder, a leader of the communities of students and parents, and a vital person who helps and guides students' growth and human development (Qi & Huang, 2019).

Due to *banzhurens*' work characteristics and the significant demands placed on them, it is not difficult to understand their career dilemmas. First, as illustrated by the policies and research discussed in the former section, *banzhurens* take on excessive expectations and responsibilities for students' education and development. Second, scholars have pointed out that the boundaries of *banzhuren*'s responsibilities are vague. For example, C. Zhang (2022) found that some of the core work tasks of *banzhurens*, such as managing daily class affairs and paying attention to individual student development, are fragmentary, endless, and hard to define. Third, *banzhurens*' career development is not supported by state education policies. Specifically, the Chinese professional promotion system weighs teachers' subject teaching performance and achievements as being more important than *banzhurens*' work performance (Feng, 2016). Finally, the heavy workload of *banzhurens* is of great concern. For instance, *banzhurens* usually work more than eight hours daily (F.-J. Zhao & Liu, 2018). Their average weekly work time, including teaching, lesson preparation, grading homework and exam papers, and communicating with students' parents, has been estimated as close to 50 hours (Pan & Zhang, 2017), which is nearly 10 hours more than standard hours.

Teacher Job Satisfaction

Teacher job satisfaction is defined as the emotional responses to one's job that result from evaluating various aspects of it to determine whether their value judgments or work expectations are being met. (Cranny et al., 1992; Locke, 1969, 1976). Among teachers, higher job satisfaction usually indicates better job performance, motivation, productivity, and a lower attrition rate within their profession (Brezicha et al., 2020; Ololube, 2006). Student achievement has also been shown to increase when teachers' higher-level job satisfaction produces stronger work commitments (Essien & Gimba, 2017; Ronfeldt et al., 2013). Teachers are also less likely to quit when they perceive that their job realities meet their expectations (Madigan & Kim, 2021; Perrachione et al., 2008).

Job satisfaction can also act as a mediator for other factors that influence teachers' attrition rates. For example, teachers' job satisfaction was found to be a key variable that mediated the effects of Norwegian teachers' feelings of belonging and emotional exhaustion on their intention to leave teaching (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2011). Therefore, job satisfaction is important not only for the teachers themselves but for their schools, their students, and the education system.

For *banzhurens* in China, studies have revealed that participating *banzhurens* had a moderate level of job satisfaction (Chen, 2020; Kuai & Zeng, 2016; Pan & Zhang, 2017; Yang, 2016). However, job satisfaction levels would vary based on their personal and professional characteristics, such as gender and length of service. Research on the effect of gender on job satisfaction remains inconclusive. While some studies indicate no significant correlation between gender and job satisfaction or intention to leave (Kuai & Zeng, 2016; Pan & Zhang, 2017; Yuan, 2019), other studies revealed that male *banzhurens* had significantly higher levels of job satisfaction than females (Ding & Wang, 2018; Lin, 2020). Moreover,

mid-career *banzhurens* with 10 to 12 years of experience showed the lowest levels of job satisfaction (Yuan, 2019), similar to the results found among teachers in England (Crossman & Harris, 2006).

Of the other factors influencing job satisfaction, insufficient monetary allowance was identified as one aspect that *banzhurens* were least satisfied with (Chen, 2020; Yuan, 2019); among *banzhurens* working in rural areas, only 10% were satisfied with their allowance (Zheng, 2019). Workload is another vital factor that impacts job satisfaction. In Zheng's (2019) study, over 80% of the participants stated they were bearing a heavy workload and scope of responsibility, which decreased their job satisfaction. Not surprisingly, turnover intentions can be high, with only about 40% of *banzhurens* expressing their willingness to continue in this role (J.-M. Li et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2021). One possible reason for this high turnover intention statistic is that the participating *banzhurens* existed in environments where the stress of unrealistic expectations from schools, students, and families outweighed the support they needed (Ding & Wang, 2018).

Furthermore, in their analysis of the influence of internal and external factors on *banzhurens*' intention to leave, Kuai and Zeng (2016) found that internal factors were stronger than external factors. More specifically, *banzhurens*' decisions to leave the position stemmed more from a lack of achievement, autonomy, self-esteem, and leadership support than from heavy workloads or insufficient financial compensation. For increasing *banzhurens*' job satisfaction, fostering interpersonal support and emotional intelligence may be effective strategies. Studies indicate that *banzhurens* with better interpersonal support demonstrated a higher level of job satisfaction (Pan & Zhang, 2017). Emotional intelligence can also have a positive effect on *banzhurens*' willingness to remain in the position (Deng & Sun, 2021).

Teacher Self-efficacy and Job Satisfaction

The theory of self-efficacy was first introduced by Bandura in 1977, and he further situated this term in the construct of the social cognitive theory of human behaviour in 1986 (Pajares, 1997). Applying Bandura's conception of self-efficacy to the topic of this study, a *banzhuren*'s self-efficacy may be defined as their belief in their own ability to execute a course of activities to facilitate educational tasks and goals (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2010). This belief determines each *banzhuren*'s choice of behaviours (whether and how to pursue the courses of action), how much effort they will expend, and how long they will persevere when facing difficulties (Bandura, 1997). Self-efficacy possesses a dynamic and cyclical nature (Tschannen-Moran et al., 1998). More specifically, people with higher self-efficacy tend to invest more effort and persistence in their tasks, resulting in improved performance across various areas. This improved performance, in turn, enhances their self-efficacy. Conversely, those with lower self-efficacy may avoid taking action or give up easily, leading to poor outcomes that further diminish their self-efficacy. Teachers' self-efficacy is most malleable in the early stage of their career and, with their working experience accumulated, their self-efficacy will increase and become firmly established (Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2007).

The positive influence of teachers' self-efficacy on job satisfaction has been confirmed by extensive research conducted in various countries (Collie et al., 2012; Klassen & Chiu, 2010; Ortan et al., 2021; Troesch & Bauer, 2017; H. Wang et al., 2015) revealing a general conclusion: teachers with a high level of self-efficacy report greater job satisfaction. Among the various antecedents of teacher job satisfaction, including school conditions, leadership, job stress, salary, students' discipline, social support, self-efficacy, and demographic factors such as gender and age (Brezicha et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2012; Toropova et al., 2021; H. Wang, Cousineau, et al., 2022; Y. Wang, Wen, et al., 2022; X. Zhao & Jeon, 2024), self-efficacy is considered one of the most influential contributors to job satisfaction (Naz, 2017). Akomolafe and Ogunmakin (2014) concluded that teachers with higher levels of self-efficacy tend to think more positively about their work and are able to handle challenging work tasks more effectively, thereby contributing to their job satisfaction. For instance, Huang et al. (2020) showed that teacher self-efficacy in teacher-student relationships and school decision making had a more positive influence on teachers' job satisfaction and commitment than self-efficacy in classroom teaching. Building on the previous research about the relationship between self-efficacy and job satisfaction, the first hypothesis in this study is:

H1: Banzhurens' self-efficacy positively affects their job satisfaction.

Teacher Burnout and Its Relationship with Self-efficacy and Job Satisfaction

The concept of burnout was first identified by Freudenberger (1974) as a state that occurred among staff members working in free clinics. Freudenberger proposed that the behavioural signs of burnout are "a staff member's quickness to anger and instantaneous irritation and frustration responses" (p.160), and verbally expressing a negative attitude. Subsequently, Maslach and Jackson (1986) developed the Maslach Burnout Inventory scale to assess individuals' levels of job burnout. They described three aspects of burnout syndromes in the workplace: increased feelings of emotional exhaustion, negative and cynical attitudes about one's client, and the tendency to evaluate oneself negatively. Consequently, burnout was predominantly defined by three main components: exhaustion, cynicism, and professional inefficacy (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Of these, emotional exhaustion is regarded as the key element in the teaching profession because teachers often engage in emotional labour (Chang, 2009; Maslach & Jackson, 1986; Skaalvik &

Skaalvik, 2010). For *banzhurens* in particular, their work requires them to establish interpersonal relationships with their students, colleagues and students' parents (Zhou et al., 2024), which may frequently lead them to feel emotionally exhausted.

Previous studies conducted in various regions have clearly defined the negative relationship between teachers' selfefficacy and burnout. For example, self-efficacy was found to be a strong negative predictor of burnout among Iranian English-language teachers (Ghasemzadeh et al., 2019). Moreover, the negative association between self-efficacy and burnout was found to be bidirectional among primary school teachers in Morocco (Hassan & Ibourk, 2021), primary and middle school teachers in Norway (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2010), and grammar school teachers in Czechia (Smetackova, 2017). However, these relationships have been rarely examined in the studies concerning Chinese teachers or *banzhurens*. Based on such studies about the relationship between teachers' self-efficacy and burnout, the second hypothesis of this study is:

H2: Banzhurens' burnout negatively affects their self-efficacy.

In contrast to the positive influence of self-efficacy on job satisfaction, burnout has a negative influence on teachers' job satisfaction (Hassan & Ibourk, 2021; Okçu & Çetin, 2017; Oropesa Ruiz et al., 2019; Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2009) and is a key determinant of teachers' turnover intentions (Madigan & Kim, 2021; Rajendran et al., 2020). Yorulmaz et al.'s (2017) analysis of 29 studies examining the relationships between teachers' job satisfaction and burnout in Turkey found that teachers' emotional exhaustion and reduced accomplishment were negatively correlated to job satisfaction on a medium level, and teachers' depersonalisation and job satisfaction were negatively correlated at a low level. While Skaalvik and Skaalvik (2020) found that teachers' job satisfaction was positively predicted by self-perceived accomplishment (positively measured) and negatively predicted by emotional exhaustion, cynicism (depersonalisation) had no significant influence on job satisfaction. The negative relationship between burnout and job satisfaction among Chinese teachers has also been examined (e.g., Leung & Lee, 2006). Among *banzhurens*, job burnout was significantly higher than for subject specialist teachers (Dong, 2020), and burnout was one of the important factors that decreased their job satisfaction and further increased their sense of burnout. On the basis of these studies, the third hypothesis of this study is:

H3: Banzhurens' burnout negatively affects their job satisfaction.

Based on the existing research, teacher burnout negatively influences self-efficacy and job satisfaction. Conversely, self-efficacy has a positive effect on job satisfaction. This raises the question of whether self-efficacy can serve as a protective factor against the negative impact of burnout on job satisfaction. Therefore, the fourth hypothesis of this study is:

H4: Banzhurens' self-efficacy mediates the effect of their burnout on job satisfaction.

In conclusion, job satisfaction among teachers is a well-explored topic globally, with extensive research examining the effects of self-efficacy and burnout across various regions. However, the extent to which job satisfaction is influenced by burnout and self-efficacy remains underexplored among *banzhurens* in the Chinese context. This study seeks to address this research gap.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a quantitative approach, specifically structural equation modelling, to explore the relationships between *banzhurens*' self-efficacy, burnout, and job satisfaction. According to the hypotheses proposed in the previous section, the research framework is displayed in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Research Framework

Research Instrument

A questionnaire consisting of three constructs measuring participants' job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and burnout was distributed through the Qualtrics platform. Participants responded to the questionnaire items using a 7-point Likert scale with 1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree (Simms et al., 2019). The questionnaire items were selected from existing research (Ho & Au, 2006; H. Liu, 2003; Maslach & Jackson, 1986). Since the target participants in this study were Chinese primary school *banzhurens*, the items related to burnout and job satisfaction were rephrased and translated into Mandarin from English. Furthermore, the items related to self-efficacy were translated from Mandarin into English, as illustrated in the Appendix, to assist the readers of this study. There were three steps in the translation process. First, we translated the English items into Chinese through ChatGPT. Second, we revised and refined the translations to ensure the expressions were appropriate and accurate. Third, we translated them back into English through ChatGPT to confirm that the original meanings remained unchanged. In summary, the instrument (questionnaire) used in this study consists of three constructs: job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and burnout, with subconstructs under the constructs of self-efficacy and burnout.

Job satisfaction scale: Four items from Ho and Au's (2006) Teaching Satisfaction Scale (TSS) were adopted to measure *banzhurens*' job satisfaction. The expressions were changed to fit the purpose of this study. For example, the original item, "*In most ways, being a teacher is close to my ideal*", was rephrased to "*In many aspects, being a banzhuren is close to the expectation of my dream job*". The Cronbach's α of this scale was .877. The scale factor loadings were between .705 and .874. The composite reliability (CR) is .877, and the average variance extracted (AVE) is .643.

Self-Efficacy Scale: Banzhurens' self-efficacy was measured by the items selected from the Primary School Teacher Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (H. Liu, 2003), which consisted of three sub-constructs – instructional strategies, management and administration, and interpersonal support – adding up to 56 items in total. To serve the purpose of this study and according to the theory and previous research (Bandura, 2006; Geng, 2018; Huang et al., 2020), only the items that were highly related to *banzhurens*' daily work were used. Consequently, the scale of self-efficacy used in this study had 12 items, and the original three sub-constructs of the survey were not changed. The Cronbach's α was .929. The scale factor loadings were between .533 and .805. The CR is .933, and the AVE is .542.

Burnout scale: The Maslach Burnout Inventory (Maslach & Jackson, 1986) (MBI), a widely used scale (e.g., Pietarinen et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2015), was adopted in this study. The MBI consists of three sub-constructs: emotional exhaustion, personal accomplishment, and depersonalisation. However, in this study, the items under the sub-construct of personal accomplishment had a strong similarity with the items of self-efficacy. Moreover, emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation have been confirmed as two central elements of burnout (Schaufeli & Salanova, 2007; Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2010;). Consequently, the personal accomplishment items were excluded from this study to minimise multicollinearity among the items, while eight items measuring emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation were retained. Some items were rephrased to better serve the purpose of this study. For example, the item "*Working with people directly puts too much stress on me*" was rephrased to "*Working with people (colleagues and students) directly puts too much stress on me*", and to enhance understanding for Chinese participants, the item "*I feel like I'm at the end of my rope*" was translated and rephrased as " I feel like I 'm reaching my limit." The Cronbach's α of this scale was .915. The range of factor loadings is [.656, .884]. The CR is .916, and the AVE is .581.

Sample

The participants were primary school *banzhurens* who worked in G City, a small city in Henan province in China. Convenience sampling was used. The number of *banzhurens* completing the questionnaire was 624. After deleting the incomplete responses or those not finished within the 200 seconds allowed, 516 valid responses were kept for the analysis. This amounted to around 40% of the total number of *banzhurens* in G City.

Of the 516 responses, 96.9% (500) were female and 3.1% (16) were male. According to the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China (2023), 78.7% of full-time teachers in primary schools in Henan province were female. This indicates that a gender disproportion in the data collected. The age of the participants was broken down into three groups: 76 (14.7%) *banzhurens* were under 30 years old; 421 (81.6%) were aged 31 to 50 years old; and 19 (3.68%) were over 50 years old. The *banzhurens*' experiences in this role were also categorised into three groups: 139 (26.9%) teachers had been *banzhurens* for less than 5 years; 221 (42.8%) for 6 to 20 years; and 156 (30.2%) for more than 20 years.

Data Analysis

The data was collected in November and December 2023. The first step in the data analysis after the completion of data collection was data cleaning using StataSE 16. The 516 valid responses were kept. The second step was descriptive analysis. Then, a set of rigorous reliability and validity tests were conducted by SPSS29 and Mplus8 as the third step to verify that the instruments used were reliable, accurate and effective. The indicators of reliability tests – coefficients of α were computed by SPSS 29, and the indicators of validity test – factor loadings, composite reliability, and average variance extracted were computed by Mplus8 and Microsoft Excel 2024.

The fourth step was confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to examine the fitness of the measurement model. The model fit indices employed were well established by previous studies; they included χ^2 (Chi-Square) /*df* (degree of freedom), comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis's Index (TLI), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and standardised root mean square residual (SRMR). Referring to Carmines and McIver (1981), χ^2/df below 5.0 indicates an acceptable fit of the model. According to Y. Wang, Wen, et al. (2022), model fit can be acceptable if (a) CFI and TLI \geq .90; (b) RMSEA and SRMR \leq .08; and model fit can be excellent if (a) CFI and TLI \geq .95; (b) RMSEA and SRMR \leq .05.

Before conducting structural analysis, we examined the distribution of the data for the four variables (including the control variable, which was elaborated at the end of this section) through SPSS 29 and confirmed that they follow a normal distribution. Finally, the structural relationships and mediation effect test were conducted by Mplus8 to test four hypotheses. The technique used for testing structural relationships was maximum likelihood estimation, which is suitable for continuous variables and normally distributed data. The technique for mediation effects testing was Bootstrap, which is verified as having a higher statistical power compared with the other methods (Hayes, 2009). Therefore, mediation effect tests were conducted by the method of bootstrapping with 5000 replicates.

The questionnaire also collected data on the participants' genders, ages and years of being *banzhuren*. Due to the disproportionate data for participants' gender distribution, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was not performed for gender groups. Participants' ages and years of experience are highly correlated with each other: years of experience can reflect on teachers' workability and performance more directly, and it is a variable that has been commonly applied in previous studies exploring teachers' job satisfaction, self-efficacy and burnout (e.g., Jentsch et al., 2023; Lauermann & Berger, 2021; Toropova et al., 2021). Thus, years of experience was a variable selected for ANOVA using StataSE 16, and it was controlled in the structural analysis and mediation tests since the variances are significant.

Results

Measurement Model

The CFA was conducted four times to test the model fit for the three scales and the whole questionnaire. The results (in Table 1) show that the $\chi 2/df$ ranges from 1.000 to 3.580. RMSEA ranges from .046 to .071, and SRMR ranges from .009 to .066. TLI ranges from .913 to .998, and CFI ranges from .922 to .994. Thus, the questionnaire, as well as each construct, was acceptable.

Fit indices*	χ2a	dfb	χ2/dfc	RMSEAd	SRMRe	TLIf	CFIg
JS	4.193	2	2.097	.046	.009	.998	.994
TSE	120.097	51	2.355	.051	.022	.982	.976
BNT	46.384	46.38	1.000	.053	.022	.984	.989
Whole questionanaire	891.499	249	3.580	.071	.066	.913	.922
Standards (Good fit)	-	-	< 5	<.08	< .08	>.900	>.900

* JS, job satisfaction, TSE, self-efficacy and BNT, burnout.

^a Chi-Square statistic

^b Degree of freedom

^c The ratio of x2 to the degrees of freedom (df)

^d Root Mean Square Error of Approximation

^e Standardised Root Mean Square Residual

^f Tucker-Lewis's Index

^g Comparative Fit Index

Additionally, discriminant validity was assessed. By comparing all pairwise construct correlations with the square root of AVE (the bold numbers in Table 2), the results show that discriminant validity was confirmed, as the diagonal elements (AVE) exceeded those of the off-diagonal elements (pairwise construct correlations) (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). Therefore, the results (see Table 2) demonstrate that the constructs within the questionnaire are distinctly different from one another. Thus, the questionnaire exhibits good discriminant validity.

Construct§	Mean	SD*	JS	TSE	BNT
JS	3.504	1.592	.802		
TSE	5.240	0.891	.583	.736	
BNT	4.485	1.402	628	478	.762

[§]JS, job satisfaction, TSE, self-efficacy and BNT, burnout.

* Standard deviation

Descriptive Analysis

The overall mean of the participating *banzhurens*' job satisfaction is 3.50 ± 0.89 . This indicates that, in general, the *banzhurens* were slightly dissatisfied with their jobs. *Banzhurens* with longer years of experience demonstrated more satisfaction with their job. The mean of the *banzhurens*' overall self-efficacy is 5.24 ± 0.89 , suggesting that they were relatively confident about their work-related tasks. *Banzhurens*' self-efficacy increased with the years of experience accumulated, especially regarding the aspect of management and administration. The overall mean of *banzhurens*' burnout is 4.49 ± 1.40 . Their burnout decreased with the increase in years of experience. In comparison to job satisfaction and burnout, the *banzhurens*' scores on self-efficacy exhibit a lower standard deviation, indicating that the responses for each item are more consistent and less dispersed from the mean. Regarding the two aspects of burnout, the participants reported more emotional exhaustion than depersonalisation. When comparing self-efficacy regarding instructional strategy and management and administration, the participants showed comparatively lower self-efficacy concerning interpersonal support, particularly in communicating with their school leaders.

The results of ANOVA indicate that the *banzhurens* with different years of experience have significantly different levels of job satisfaction (p < .10), self-efficacy (p < .05) and burnout (p < .10). Thus, the variable for years of being *banzhuren* is controlled in the following analysis.

Hypothesis Test: Structural Model Analysis

To confirm the first three hypotheses, structural model analysis was conducted while controlling for the variable of years of experience to eliminate their influence on the SEM model (Table 3). The results indicate that *banzhurens*' self-efficacy significantly affected their job satisfaction positively, with a standardised path coefficient of .361 (p < .001). Burnout had a significant negative impact on job satisfaction (standardised path coefficient of -.554, p < .001). Moreover, burnout also negatively influenced self-efficacy, with a standardised path coefficient of -.451 (p < .001). Therefore, the first three hypotheses are verified. As well, through comparing the standardised coefficients, burnout exhibited a stronger effect on job satisfaction compared to self-efficacy.

Hypothesis	Paths	Unstd. ^a	S.E. ^b	Zc	Pd	Std. ^e
H1	$TSE \rightarrow JS$	0.736	0.086	8.578	***	.361
H2	$BNT \rightarrow TSE$	-0.223	0.024	-9.472	***	451
Н3	$BNT \rightarrow JS$	-0.560	0.042	-13.19	***	554

Table 3. Summary of Structural Model Results

^a Unstandardized coefficient of the path

^b Standard error

^c *t*-value, used to calculate the *p*-value

^d *p*-value < .1 *; *p*-value < .05 **; *p*-value < .001 ***

^e Standardized coefficient

Hypothesis Test: Mediation Effect Test

The structural model in the previous section indicates that job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and burnout are significantly related to one another, which raises the question of the role of self-efficacy as a mediating variable in the tested SEM. Therefore, a mediation effect test was conducted, and the variable years of experience was controlled to eliminate its influence on the mediation effect model.

The results are summarised in Table 4. Under the 95% confidence interval, all three path results of the bootstrapping tests do not include zero, which means self-efficacy had a mediating effect on the relationship between burnout and job satisfaction. Therefore, the fourth hypothesis was verified. The results also indicated that teacher burnout had a total negative effect on job satisfaction at 0.297. Within this (can also see Figure 2), the direct negative effect (after the mediating variable self-efficacy was controlled) of burnout on job satisfaction is 0.110, and the indirect effect of burnout on job satisfaction, which was mediated via self-efficacy(i.e., a*b), is -0.187. The ratio of the indirect effect to the total effect (i.e., a*b/c) is often interpreted as the proportion of the total effect that is mediated (Hayes, 2009). Thus, for the mediation hypothesis, adding teachers' self-efficacy to the model reduced 62.96% of these effects. This shows that self-efficacy mediated 62.96% of the negative influence from burnout to job satisfaction.

Indirect effect						Proportion of relative effect	
BNT*→TSE*→JS*	B (a*b)	SE ^a	Zb	Bootstrapp	ing 95% CI ^c	Froportion of relative effect	
	-0.187	0.029	-6.429	[-0.243	-0.129]	62.96%	
Direct effect							
BNT→JS	B (c')	SE	Z	Bootstrapp	oing 95% CI		
	-0.110	0.017	-6.642	[-0.143	-0.077]	37.04%	
Total effect							
BNT→JS	B (c)	SE	Z	Bootstrapp	oing 95% CI		
	-0.297	0.032	-9.25	[-0.358	-0.229]	100.00%	

Table 4. The Results of the Mediation Effect Analysis

*JS, teachers' job satisfaction; TSE, teachers' self-efficacy; BNT, teachers' burnout

B Unstandardized coefficient of the path

^a Standard error

^b *t*-value, used to calculate the *p*-value

^c Bootstrap confidence intervals



^a The variable for years of experience was controlled but not shown in this figure.

* Unstandardized coefficient of the path

Figure 2. The Structure of Mediation Affects ^a

Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the relationships between self-efficacy, burnout, and job satisfaction among Chinese primary school *banzhurens* in G city of Henan Province in China. In addition, it examined whether self-efficacy played a mediating role in the effect of burnout on job satisfaction. Within the framework of structural equation modelling, the models used in this study are validated, and the goodness of fit indexes are acceptable (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Kelloway, 1998).

Effect of Burnout

Burnout was verified as significantly negatively influencing the participating *banzhurens*' job satisfaction. In other words, the *banzhurens*' job satisfaction decreased if their burnout increased. A few Chinese studies also found negative relationships between *banzhurens*' burnout and job satisfaction (e.g., Yang, 2016). Regarding the impacts of different sub-constructs of burnout, studies from Asian and Western countries (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2017; Yorulmaz et al., 2017) reported a significant influence of emotional exhaustion. A possible reason the *banzhurens* felt burnout might be their excessive emotional exhaustion from their heavy workloads and overwhelming responsibilities (Zhou et al., 2024). As mentioned earlier, their responsibilities involve completing school assessments, managing classroom affairs, and providing guidance and support for individual student development (C. Zhang, 2022). In effect, they become their students' "nanny", "coach", "manager", and "liaison" to varying degrees, whether actively or passively (She, 2021). Teachers' heavy workloads resulting in burnout have also been widely reported elsewhere (e.g., Jomuad et al., 2021). Furthermore, because educational policy documents repeatedly emphasise the significance of the role of *banzhurens* in students' overall education, other school members, such as subject specialist teachers and school leaders, tend to perceive them as having the primary responsibility for their students' all-round development (Du, 2016).

Burnout also significantly negatively affects *banzhurens*' self-efficacy, as confirmed through structural equation modelling in this study and reported by Shoji et al. (2016) and Skaalvik and Skaalvik (2010). Bandura (1977) also proposed that the level of emotional and physiological arousal affects a person's self-perception of their efficacy in the workplace. Therefore, it is likely that when *banzhurens* frequently endure negative mental states such as emotional exhaustion, fatigue, or depersonalisation, their confidence in managing work tasks will also diminish. Conversely, the

positive emotions they experience at work, such as joy, happiness, and a sense of achievement, will enhance their selfefficacy.

Effect of Self-efficacy

The first hypothesis – *banzhurens*' self-efficacy positively affects their job satisfaction– was confirmed through structural equation modelling. This positive relationship among teachers has also been reported in Canada (Klassen & Chiu, 2010), Romania (Ortan et al., 2021), Germany (Jentsch et al., 2023), and Australia (Granziera & Perera, 2019). One of the possible reasons for the positive influence of self-efficacy on job satisfaction may be that teachers with high levels of self-efficacy are more likely to accept and try new teaching methods and classroom management strategies and to pursue better work conditions that support their efforts; these factors may lead to effective teaching (such as student academic achievement) (Caprara et al., 2006; Klassen & Tze, 2014) as well as less job stress and burnout (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2010), contributing to a higher level of job satisfaction

It is worth noting that among the 12 items of the self-efficacy assessment scale, the only item with a score below 5 is "*I can communicate my ideas and perspectives with leadership*" (mean rating of 4.41 ± 1.59). There are two possible reasons for this. First, the participating *banzhurens* themselves may lack appropriate communication skills. Second, they may not have received satisfactory or expected responses after sincere communication with their school leaders. It is anticipated that the low rating on this item is more likely a result of the second reason. This is because, in some schools in China, school leaders usually adopt bureaucratic leadership approaches and professional strategies that value only praise and effort, while discouraging suggestions and demands (X. Zhang et al., 2022).

The fourth hypothesis about *banzhurens*' self-efficacy mediating the relationship between burnout and job satisfaction was tested as valid. This result indicates that the *banzhurens*' self-efficacy could have reduced the negative influence of their burnout on job satisfaction. In other words, self-efficacy can be regarded as a protective factor against burnout (Shoji et al., 2016). Based on the scores of the participating *banzhurens*' self-efficacy, improving self-efficacy regarding interpersonal support, particularly in communication with school leaders, may be an effective strategy to boost their job satisfaction. This aligns with the findings in previous studies that school leaders' support is crucial for lessening *banzhurens*' emotional exhaustion (Zhou et al., 2024) and increasing their job satisfaction (Kuai & Zeng, 2016). While establishing a positive work environment, school leaders should also emphasise the "relational leadership" strategy, fostering positive norms of trust, mutual respect, and collective responsibility with *banzhurens* (S. Liu & Hallinger, 2018).

Conclusion

One of the major contributions of this study is the establishment and verification as reliable and valid of a questionnaire that measures *banzhurens*' job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and burnout – three significant factors reflecting the working status of *banzhurens*. Moreover, this study confirmed the positive relationship between *banzhurens*' self-efficacy and job satisfaction, along with the negative impact of burnout on both self-efficacy and job satisfaction. Additionally, *banzhurens*' self-efficacy serves a protective role against the impact of burnout on job satisfaction. We suggest that school leaders and other relevant authorities enhance *banzhurens*' job satisfaction by lessening their burnout, specifically through decreased workloads, by demonstrating greater respect, understanding, and support for them, and by encouraging communication to bolster their self-efficacy.

Limitations

Some limitations of this study need to be considered. First, because this is quantitative research, we could not capture the in-depth story behind the three variables. Second, the data was collected from a small city in China; thus, the results cannot be generalised or applied to the entire country of China. Third, although many factors contribute to a lack of job satisfaction, including insufficient allowance, interpersonal support, work stress, and opportunities for professional development, we selected for investigation only two factors – self-efficacy and burnout – which are extensively discussed among teachers in general but rarely mentioned among *banzhurens*.

Recommendations

We recommend that future research investigate the effect of *banzhurens*' self-efficacy and burnout through both quantitative and qualitative methods to better understand how these factors influence job satisfaction and which subconstruct of these two factors holds the greatest significance. Additionally, research could explore whether and how other relevant factors contribute to *banzhurens*' job satisfaction. Collecting data from various locations would provide deeper insights into the work-related dilemmas faced by Chinese primary school *banzhurens*.

Ethics Statements

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committees of the University of Technology Sydney, with approval number ETH23-8528. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Generative AI Statement

As the authors of this work, we used the AI tools ChatGPT and Grammarly for the purpose of translating and grammar checking. After using these AI tools, we reviewed and verified the final version of our work. We, as the authors, take full responsibility for the content of our published work.

Authorship Contribution Statement

Bai: Concept and design, data acquisition, data analysis/interpretation, writing. Lai: Drafting manuscript, critical revision of manuscript, supervision, final approval.

References

- Akomolafe, M. J., & Ogunmakin, A. O. (2014). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers: Emotional intelligence, occupational stress and self-efficacy as predictors. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, *4*(3), 487-498. https://doi.org/10.5901/jesr.2014.v4n3p487
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, *84*(2), 191-215. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W.H. Freeman.
- Bandura, A. (2006). Guide for constructing self-efficacy scales. In T. Urdan & F. Pajares (Eds.), *Self-efficacy beliefs of adolescents* (pp. 307–337). Information Age Publishing.
- Brezicha, K. F., Ikoma, S., Park, H., & LeTendre, G. K. (2020). The ownership perception gap: Exploring teacher job satisfaction and its relationship to teachers' and principals' perception of decision-making opportunities. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 23(4), 428-456. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2018.1562098</u>
- Caprara, G. V., Barbaranelli, C., Steca, P., & Malone, P. S. (2006). Teachers' self-efficacy beliefs as determinants of job satisfaction and students' academic achievement: A study at the school level. *Journal of School Psychology*, 44(6), 473-490. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2006.09.001</u>
- Carmines, E. G., & McIver, J. P. (1981). Analyzing models with unobserved variables: Analysis of covariance structure. In G. W. Bohrnstedt, & E. F. Borgatta (Eds.), *Social measurement: Current issues* (pp. 65-115). Sage.
- Chang, M.-L. (2009). An appraisal perspective of teacher burnout: Examining the emotional work of teachers. *Educational Psychology Review*, *21*, 193-218. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-009-9106-y</u>
- Chen, J. (2020). 初中班主任职业压力、工作满意度与职业倦怠的关系研究 [A Research of the relationship among occupational stress, job satisfaction and job burnout of junior middle school head teachers] [Master thesis, Huazhong University of Science and Technology]. CNKI. <u>https://bit.ly/4h0yGzD</u>
- Collie, R. J., Shapka, J. D., & Perry, N. E. (2012). School climate and social-emotional learning: Predicting teacher stress, job satisfaction, and teaching efficacy. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *104*(4), 1189-1204. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029356
- Cranny, C. J., Smith, P. C., & Stone, E. F. (1992). *Job satisfaction: How people feel about their jobs and how it affects their performance*. Lexington Press.
- Crossman, A., & Harris, P. (2006). Job satisfaction of secondary school teachers. *Educational Management, Administration and Leadership*, 34(1), 29-46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143206059538</u>
- Deng, N., & Sun, Y.-C. (2021). 情绪智力对班主任的离岗意愿的影响研究——基于情绪劳动与情绪耗竭的中介作用 [A study on the influence of emotional intelligence on the turnover intention of the head teacher: The mediating effect of emotional labor and emotional exhaustion]. *Journal of Schooling Studies*, 18(05), 51-61. <u>https://bit.ly/4jaaR6j</u>
- Ding, B., & Wang, Y. (2018). 基础教育中的班主任工作特征与留岗意愿——以工作满意度为中介变量 [Headteachers' job characteristics and willingness of job retention in basic education Job satisfaction as an intermediate variable]. *Educational Science*, *34*(01), 54-62. <u>https://bit.ly/4i4ehXG</u>

- Dong, Y.-F. (2020). 小学教师的职业压力、工作满意度、职业倦怠及其相互关系研究 [A study on primary school teachers' occupational stress, job satisfaction, burnout, and their interrelationships] [Master thesis, Qingdao University]. CNKI. <u>https://bit.ly/42osr10</u>
- Du, S.-Z. (2016). "班主任制"走向何方? [Perspective of "Class Headteacher System" in China]. *Education Academic Monthly*, (11), 3-10. <u>https://bit.ly/3XCaBoD</u>
- Education Research Institute of Shaanxi Normal University. (1981). *陕甘宁边区教育资料——小学部分* [Educational materials of the Shaanxi-Gansu-Ningxia Border Region—— Primary education section]. Education Science Press.
- Essien, E. E., & Gimba, J. (2017). Teachers' level of job satisfaction and academic performance of students in social studies in Federal College of Education Yola, Adamawa State & COE Jalingo Taraba State, Nigeria. *Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, *3*(10), 532-535. <u>https://bit.ly/3RpN4n4</u>
- Feng, J.-J. (2016). 论专职班主任及其制度保障 [On full-time *banzhurens* and their institutional support]. *Banzhuren*, (07), 5-10. <u>https://bit.ly/43ry6o1</u>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*(1), 39-50. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312</u>
- Freudenberger, H. J. (1974). Staff burn-out. *Journal of Social Issues*, *30*(1), 159-165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1974.tb00706.x</u>
- Geng, S. (2018). 我国中小学班主任工作现状及对策 [The Current situation and countermeasures of primary and secondary school *banzhuren* in China]. *Educational Science Research*, (11), 44-50. <u>https://bit.ly/4lfBk4C</u>
- Ghasemzadeh, S., Nemati, M., & Fathi, J. (2019). Teacher self-efficacy and reflection as predictors of teacher burnout: An investigation of Iranian English language teachers. *Issues in Language Teaching*, 8(2), 25-50. https://ilt.atu.ac.ir/article 10964.html
- Granziera, H., & Perera, H. N. (2019). Relations among teachers' self-efficacy beliefs, engagement, and work satisfaction: A social cognitive view. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 58, 75-84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.02.003</u>
- Hassan, O., & Ibourk, A. (2021). Burnout, self-efficacy and job satisfaction among primary school teachers in Morocco. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, *4*(1), Article 100148. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100148</u>
- Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Communication Monographs*, 76(4), 408-420. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03637750903310360</u>
- He, Z. (2022). 小学班主任胜任力现状及提升策略研究——以重庆市 N 区为例 [A study on the current situation and improving strategies of the primary school head teachers' competence A case study of N District in Chongqing]. [Master thesis, Yunnan Normal University]. CNKI. <u>https://bit.ly/4hX3MVH</u>
- Ho, C.-L., & Au, W.-T. (2006). Teaching satisfaction scale: Measuring job satisfaction of teachers. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *66*(1), 172-185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164405278573</u>
- Hu, L.-T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118
- Huang, X., Lin, C.-H., & Lee, J. C. K. (2020). Moving beyond classroom teaching: A study of multidimensional teacher selfefficacy on job satisfaction and occupational commitment. *Teachers and Teaching*, *26*(7-8), 522-542. https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2021.1890014
- Jentsch, A., Hoferichter, F., Blömeke, S., König, J., & Kaiser, G. (2023). Investigating teachers' job satisfaction, stress and working environment: The roles of self-efficacy and school leadership. *Psychology in the Schools, 60*(3), 679-690. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22788
- Jiang, T. (2018). 班主任核心素养及专业标准体系建构——基于德尔菲法的研究 [Class-advisors' core qualities and professional standards construction]. *Educational Science Research*, (12), 78-87. <u>https://bit.ly/4j3INBM</u>
- Johnson, S. M., Kraft, M. A., & Papay, J. P. (2012). How context matters in high-need schools: The effects of teachers' working conditions on their professional satisfaction and their students' achievement. *Teachers College Record*, *114*(10), 1-39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811211401004</u>
- Jomuad, P. D., Antiquina, L. M. M., Cericos, E. U., Bacus, J. A., Vallejo, J. H., Dionio, B. B., Bazar, J. S., Cocolan, J. V., & Clarin, A. S. (2021). Teachers' workload in relation to burnout and work performance. *International Journal of Educational Policy Research and Review*, 8(2), 48-53. <u>https://doi.org/10.15739/IJEPRR.21.007</u>

Kelloway, E. K. (1998). Using LISREL for structural equation modelling: A researcher's guide. Sage.

- Klassen, R. M., & Chiu, M. M. (2010). Effects on teachers' self-efficacy and job satisfaction: Teacher gender, years of experience, and job stress. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *102*(3), 741-756. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019237</u>
- Klassen, R. M., & Tze, V. M. C. (2014). Teachers' self-efficacy, personality, and teaching effectiveness: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, *12*, 59-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2014.06.001</u>
- Kuai, Y.-F., & Zeng, X.-L. (2016). 班主任离岗意愿的调查研究 [An investigation on the turnover intention of the head teacher]. *Journal of Schooling Studies*, 13(01), 48-56. <u>https://bit.ly/410v4ce</u>
- Lauermann, F., & Berger, J.-L. (2021). Linking teacher self-efficacy and responsibility with teachers' self-reported and student-reported motivating styles and student engagement. *Learning and Instruction*, *76*, Article 101441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2020.101441
- Leung, D. Y. P., & Lee, W. W. S. (2006). Predicting intention to quit among Chinese teachers: Differential predictability of the components of burnout. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping, 19*(2), 129-141. https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800600565476
- Li, G., Tsang, K. K., Wang, L., & Liu, D. (2023). Are *banzhurens* (homeroom teachers) more prone to burnout in China? The moderation effect of school culture. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, *32*, 855-866. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-022-00701-1</u>
- Li, J.-M., Wu, Z.-H., & Wang, H. (2017). 新形势下中小学班主任工作状况的调查与反思 [Investigation and reflection on the working situations of class teachers of primary and secondary schools in the new situations]. *Modern Education Management*, (11), 75-81. <u>https://bit.ly/4j2JE5u</u>
- Lin, J.-Y. (2020). *中班主任工作满意度及激励机制研究——以广州市H 区为例* [A study on job satisfaction and incentive mechanism of junior middle school head teachers –Take H District of Guangzhou as an example] [Master thesis, Yunnan University]. CNKI. <u>https://bit.ly/4jacFw7</u>
- Liu, H. (2003). 教师集体效能、教师自我效能及其对学生学业效能和学习态度影响的层次关系研究 [Teacher collective efficacy, teacher self-efficacy and their effect on students' academic efficacy and attitude to learning-A multilevel structural analysis] [Doctoral dissertation, Beijing Normal University]. Beijing Normal University Library Dissertation Service System. <u>https://bit.ly/4cg9PDM</u>
- Liu, S., & Hallinger, P. (2018). Principal instructional leadership, teacher self-efficacy, and teacher professional learning in China: Testing a mediated-effects model. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, *54*(4), 501-528. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X18769048
- Liu, Y.-C. (2015). 小学优秀班主任核心能力的实证研究 [An empirical study on the core competencies of excellent primary school class teachers]. *Shanghai Educational Research*, (08), 47-50. <u>https://bit.ly/4hTyUFA</u>
- Locke, E. A. (1969). What is job satisfaction? Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 4(4), 309-336. https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(69)90013-0
- Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In S. Zedeck (Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 1297-1343). Rand McNally.
- Madigan, D. J., & Kim, L. E. (2021). Towards an understanding of teacher attrition: A meta-analysis of burnout, job satisfaction, and teachers' intentions to quit. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *105*, Article 103425. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103425</u>
- Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1986). Maslach burnout inventory: Manual (2nd ed.). Consulting Psychologists Press. Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. Journal of Occupational Behaviour, 2(2), 99-113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/job.4030020205</u>
- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Burnout. In G. Fink (Ed.), *Stress: Concepts, cognition, emotion, and behavior* (pp. 351-357). Academic Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/C2013-0-12842-5</u>
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2009). 中小学班主任工作规定[Regulations on the work of *banzhuren* in primary and secondary schools]. <u>https://bit.ly/4hWkIvG</u>
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2023). 小学教育专任教师分学历、分专业技术职务情况(总 计 [Number of full-time teachers in primary schools by academic qualifications and professional rank (Total)]. https://bit.ly/4j8H5yQ
- Naz, S. (2017). Factors affecting teachers job satisfaction. Market Forces, 12(2), 44-59. https://bit.ly/3FLMDRH

- Ning, J., & Fang, C. (2020). 从"西语"到"西学"——京师同文馆课程改革的历史考察 [From "Western languages" to "Western learning": A historical study of curriculum reform at the Tongwen Guan in Beijing]. *Higher Education Exploration*, (07), 99-105. <u>https://bit.ly/3FJRF0J</u>
- Okçu, V., & Çetin, H. (2017). Investigating the relationship among the level of mobbing experience, job satisfaction and burnout levels of primary and secondary school teachers. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, *5*(1), 148-161. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2017.050119
- Ololube, N. P. (2006). Teachers job satisfaction and motivation for school effectiveness: An assessment. *Essays in Education*, *18*(1), Article 9. <u>https://openriver.winona.edu/eie/vol18/iss1/9/</u>
- Oropesa Ruiz, N. F., Atria, L., Pérez-Fuentes, M. d. C., Molero Jurado, M. d. M., & Gázquez Linares, J. J. (2019). Burnout, perceived efficacy, and job satisfaction: Perception of the educational context in high school teachers. *BioMed Research International*, 2019(1), Article 1021408. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1021408</u>
- Ortan, F., Simut, C., & Simut, R. (2021). Self-efficacy, job satisfaction and teacher well-being in the K-12 educational system. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(23), Article 12763. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312763
- Pajares, F. (1997). Current directions in self-efficacy research. In M. Maehr, & P. R. Pintrich (Eds.), Advances in motivation and achievement (Vol. 10, pp. 1-49). JAI Press.
- Pan, Y.-H., & Zhang, R.-B. (2017). 初中班主任工作满意度影响因素的多层分析 ——基于中国教育追踪数据(2013-2014) 的应用 [A multilevel analysis of factors influencing job satisfaction of junior high school class teachers: Application based on China Education Panel Survey data (2013-2014)]. *Education Academic Monthly*, (05), 67-74. <u>https://bit.ly/42adnmg</u>
- Perrachione, B. A., Petersen, G. J., & Rosser, V. J. (2008). Why do they stay? Elementary teachers' perceptions of job satisfaction and retention. *The Professional Educator*, *32*(2). Article 1. <u>https://bit.ly/3E7yJsC</u>
- Pietarinen, J., Pyhältö, K., Soini, T., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2013). Reducing teacher burnout: A socio-contextual approach. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *35*, 62-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2013.05.003</u>
- Qi, X.-H., & Huang, L. (2019). 建构与重构:专业化视域下的班主任制度建设 [The historical changes of class teachers in our country: Based on the professionalism of class teacher]. *Educational Science Research*, (12), 73-79. https://bit.ly/4clkjlq
- Qin, X.-X., Wu, J., & Zhang, M.-M. (2021). 中小学班主任留岗意愿影响因素研究——基于 5065 位班主任的实证调查 [A study on factors influencing the retention intentions of primary and secondary school class teachers: An empirical investigation based on 5065 class teachers]. *Educational Science Research*, (11), 54-60. <u>https://bit.ly/442Xel6</u>
- Rajendran, N., Watt, H. M. G., & Richardson, P. W. (2020). Teacher burnout and turnover intent. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 47, 477-500. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-019-00371-x</u>
- Ronfeldt, M., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2013). How teacher turnover harms student achievement. *American Educational Research Journal*, *50*(1), 4-36. <u>https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831212463813</u>
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Salanova, M. (2007). Efficacy or inefficacy, that's the question: Burnout and work engagement, and their relationships with efficacy beliefs. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping, 20*(2), 177-196. https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800701217878
- Shang, C.-J. (2003). 班级授课制的历史、现状与对策 [The history, current situation, and countermeasures of the classbased teaching system]. *Journal of Fujian Institute of Education*, (07), 110-112. <u>https://bit.ly/3E8ehHW</u>
- She, L.-M. (2021). 走向教师领导: 学校日常生活中的班主任角色构建 [Towards teacher leadership: The roleconstruction of *banzhuren* in daily school life]. *Educational Science Edition/Journal of Soochow University*, (01), 63-70. <u>https://bit.ly/4caKrzf</u>
- Shen, B., McCaughtry, N., Martin, J., Garn, A., Kulik, N., & Fahlman, M. (2015). The relationship between teacher burnout and student motivation. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85(4), 519-532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12089</u>
- Shoji, K., Cieslak, R., Smoktunowicz, E., Rogala, A., Benight, C. C., & Luszczynska, A. (2016). Associations between job burnout and self-efficacy: A meta-analysis. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping, 29*(4), 367-386. https://doi.org/10.1080/10615806.2015.1058369
- Simms, L. J., Zelazny, K., Williams, T. F., & Bernstein, L. (2019). Does the number of response options matter? Psychometric perspectives using personality questionnaire data. *Psychological Assessment*, *31*(4), 557-566. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000648

- Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2009). Does school context matter? Relations with teacher burnout and job satisfaction. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *25*(3), 518-524. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2008.12.006</u>
- Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2010). Teacher self-efficacy and teacher burnout: A study of relations. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *26*(4), 1059-1069. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2009.11.001</u>
- Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2011). Teacher job satisfaction and motivation to leave the teaching profession: Relations with school context, feeling of belonging, and emotional exhaustion. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(6), 1029-1038. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2011.04.001</u>
- Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2017). Motivated for teaching? Associations with school goal structure, teacher selfefficacy, job satisfaction and emotional exhaustion. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 67, 152-160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.06.006
- Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2020). Teacher burnout: Relations between dimensions of burnout, perceived school context, job satisfaction and motivation for teaching. A longitudinal study. *Teachers and Teaching*, *26*(7-8), 602-616. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2021.1913404</u>
- Smetackova, I. (2017). Self-efficacy and burnout syndrome among teachers. *The European Journal of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 20(3), 228-241. <u>https://doi.org/10.15405/ejsbs.219</u>
- Toropova, A., Myrberg, E., & Johansson, S. (2021). Teacher job satisfaction: The importance of school working conditions and teacher characteristics. *Educational Review*, 73(1), 71-97. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2019.1705247
- Troesch, L. M., & Bauer, C. E. (2017). Second career teachers: Job satisfaction, job stress, and the role of self-efficacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *67*, 389-398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.07.006</u>
- Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. (2007). The differential antecedents of self-efficacy beliefs of novice and experienced teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(6), 944-956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2006.05.003
- Tschannen-Moran, M., Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (1998). Teacher efficacy: Its meaning and measure. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(2), 202-248. <u>https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543068002202</u>
- Wang, H., Cousineau, C., Wang, B., Zeng, L., Sun, A., Kohrman, E., Li, N., Tok, E., Boswell, M., & Rozelle, S. (2022). Exploring teacher job satisfaction in rural China: Prevalence and correlates. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(6), Article 3537. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19063537</u>
- Wang, H., Hall, N. C., & Rahimi, S. (2015). Self-efficacy and causal attributions in teachers: Effects on burnout, job satisfaction, illness, and quitting intentions. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 47, 120-130. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2014.12.005</u>
- Wang, Y., Wen, Z., Li, W., & Fang, J. (2022). 新世纪 20 年国内结构方程模型方法研究与模型发展 [Methodological research and model development on structural equation models in China's mainland from 2001 to 2020]. *Advances in Psychological Science*, 30(8), 1715-1733. <u>https://bit.ly/41Pc8dp</u>
- Yang, H. (2016). 中小学班主任工作倦怠 与工作满意度的关系研究 ——以北京市朝阳区为例 [Study on the relationship between job burnout and job satisfaction of primary and secondary school class teachers: With Chaoyang District in Beijing as an example]. *Journal of Beijing Institute of Education (Natural Science Edition)*, 11(01), 20-26. <u>https://bit.ly/3F0dNY3</u>
- Yorulmaz, Y. I., Colak, I., & Altınkurt, Y. (2017). A meta-analysis of the relationship between teachers' job satisfaction and burnout. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, *17*(71), 175-192. <u>https://bit.ly/3GoJt6R</u>
- Yu, R.-P. (2023). 从西语到西学: 清末京师同文馆创办始末 [From Western languages to Western studies: The founding and development of the Beijing Tongwen Institute in the late Qing dynasty]. *Beijing Archives*, (02), 55-57. <u>https://bit.ly/4iXtB9B</u>
- Yuan, J. (2019). 小学班主任工作满意度现状及其影响因素实证研究 ——以郑州市 268 所小学为例 [An empirical study of job satisfaction of primary school head teachers: Taking 268 primary schools in Zhengzhou as an example]. *Contemporary Education Forum*, (01), 83-96. <u>https://bit.ly/4i4h5nG</u>
- Zhang, C. (2022). 中小学班主任工作负担:现实表征、深层困局与防范机制 [The workload of head teachers in primary and secondary schools: Representation of reality, deep dilemma and preventive mechanism]. *Modern Education Management*, (09), 46-53. <u>https://bit.ly/3FIGaa0</u>
- Zhang, X., Wong, J. L. N., & Wang, X. (2022). How do the leadership strategies of middle leaders affect teachers' learning in schools? A case study from China. *Professional Development in Education*, 48(3), 444-461. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2021.1895284</u>

- Zhao, F.-J., & Liu, J.-C. (2018). 我国中小学班主任工作现状问卷调查与分析 [The questionnaire survey and analysis on the work status of primary and secondary school headteachers in China]. *Educational Science Research*, (11), 38-43. <u>https://bit.ly/4hUVldQ</u>
- Zhao, X., & Jeon, L. (2024). Examining the associations between teacher job satisfaction, workplace climate, and wellbeing resources within Head Start Programs. *Early Education and Development*, *35*(5), 933-949. https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2023.2221765
- Zheng, P.-J. (2019). *乡村小学班主任工作满意度提升机制研究* [A study on the improvement mechanism of class teacher's job satisfaction in rural primary schools] [Master thesis, Northeast Normal University]. CNKI. <u>https://bit.ly/3FOFgZE</u>
- Zhou, C.-S., Sha, J.-Y., Xu, Y.-Y., & Li, C.-M. (2024). 社会支持在班主任工作压力与职业倦怠间的中介作用 [The mediating role of social support between class teachers' work stress and occupational burnout]. *Occupation and Health*, 40(10), 1318-1323. <u>https://bit.ly/3FMmkLc</u>
- Zhu, Y. (1986). *中国近代学制史料* [Historical materials on modern Chinese education system]. East China Normal University Press.

	Appendix					
Joh	1. In many aspects, being a <i>banzhuren</i> is close to the expectation of my dream job.					
Job Satisfaction	2. I am satisfied with being a <i>banzhuren</i> .					
Satisfaction	3. So far, in the position of a <i>banzhuren</i> , I have gotten what I wanted.					
	4. If I could choose my occupation again, I would still choose to be a <i>banzhuren</i> .					
Self-efficacy- instructional strategy	5. I can help students master different learning methods.					
	6. I can cultivate students' curiosity and thirst for knowledge.					
	7. I can help students understand their responsibilities in both academic and non-academic					
	aspects.					
	8. I can enable students to assess themselves accurately.					
Self-efficacy - management and	9. I can make students aware of my expectations for them.					
	10. I can encourage students to view me as a friend.					
administration	11. I can handle conflicts among students effectively.					
uummbtrutton	12. I can create a safe and secure school environment for students.					
	13. I can share teaching experiences with colleagues to improve teaching quality.					
Self-efficacy -	14. I can communicate my ideas and perspectives with leadership.					
interpersonal support	15. I can obtain understanding and support from parents regarding my work.					
interpersonal support	16. I can convince parents of struggling students that their children can make progress					
	through effort.					
	17. I feel emotionally drained from my work.					
	18. I feel fatigued when I get up in the morning and have to face another day on the job.					
Burnout-Emotional Exhaustion	19. I feel burned out from my work.					
	20. I feel frustrated by my job.					
	21. Working with people directly puts too much stress on me.					
	22. I feel like I'm at the end of my rope.					
Burnout-	23. I've become more callous toward people since I took this job.					
Depersonalisation	24. I worry that this job is hardening me emotionally.					

Appendix